



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/988,155

11/19/2001

Jean Sini

19111.0059

8154

23517

7590

01/12/2006

SWIDLER BERLIN LLP

3000 K STREET, NW

BOX IP

WASHINGTON, DC 20007

EXAMINER

THAI, HANH B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2163

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/988,155

Applicant(s)

SINI, JEAN

Examiner

Hanh B. Thai

Art Unit

2163

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on amendment filed 10/21/05.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5,10-12,14,19-21 and 23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5,10-12,14,19-21 and 23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following is a Final Office Action in response to the communication received on October 21, 2005. Independent claims 1, 10 and 19 have been amended. Claims 4, 6-9, 13, 15-18, 22 and 24-27 have been cancelled. Claims 1-3, 5, 10-12, 14, 19-21 and 23 are now pending in this application.

2. Applicant's amendments to claims 1, 10 and 19 are acknowledged. Consequently, objected to claims 1, 10 and 19 is withdrawn.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments filed October 21, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues (on pages 11-12): "neither Gershman nor Steed discloses or suggests creating a mapping for the form based on the information that is entered into the form from the user of the mobile device." Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Steed clearly discloses the mapping the fields of the forms ([0009] and [0023]) based on the merchant and user details information. Therefore, Steed alone or the combination of Steed and Gershman discloses the claimed limitation of "a mapping for the form based on the information that is entered into the form."

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-3, 5, 10-12, 14, 19-21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steed et al. (US Pub. No. 2002/0107755) of record in view of Chinn et al. (US Pub. 2002/0010715 A1) newly cited reference.

Regarding claims 1, 10 and 19, Steed discloses a method for automatically entering information into form fields (abstract of Steed) comprising the steps of:

- invoking a application program in response to an indication from a user of a mobile device to do so (see abstract and summary of Steed);
- scanning content transmitted from the application program to the mobile device to find a form having at least one field into which information is to entered (see [0008]; [0012]; [0013]; [0031] to [0039] and [0043]);
- retrieving and entering information to enter into the at least one field (see [0023] to [0026] and [0044], Steed) and transmitting the form including the entered information to the mobile device for display to the user ([0008]; [0012]; [0026]; [0031] to [0039] and [0043]), if the mapping for the form exists ([0009]);

Steed discloses a mapping for the form ([0009]). The claim 1 is overall rejected under “a mapping” scenario, since the “if no mapping” condition is no longer required once mapping already occurred.

Steed, however, does not discloses creating a mapping for the form if no mappings for the form exists. Chinn, on the other hand, discloses a method for browsing using a limited display device including creating a mapping for a form (summary and ¶ [0113], Chinn). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Steed

Art Unit: 2163

to include the claimed feature as taught by Chinn. The motivation of doing so would have been desirable to efficiently access content stored on communication networks using limited display devices (¶ [0005], Chinn).

Regarding claims 2, 11 and 20, Steed/Chinn combination further discloses the receiving at least one edit made by the user of the mobile device of the entered information; and transmitting the form including the edited entered information to the application program (see [0013] and [0026], Steed). Further, it is inherent for a computer navigator to offer a user the ability to easily edit a display on screen. This ability has in large been the driving force behind the almost universal adoption of the computer as the preferred means of data entry via filling out a form.

Regarding claims 3, 12 and 21, Steed/Chinn combination further discloses the mapping for the form comprises information mapping at least one field of the form into which information is to be entered to stored information (see [0009], Steed).

Regarding claims 5, 14 and 23, Steed/Chinn combination further discloses the updating information mapping at least one field of the form into which information is to be entered to stored information based on the received selection of information made by the user, if the entered information was edited by the user (see [0021] and [0022], Steed).

### ***Conclusion***

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2163

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh B. Thai whose telephone number is 571-272-4029. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 571-272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/988,155

Page 6

Art Unit: 2163

Hanh B Thai

Examiner

Art Unit 2163

January 4, 2006



SAFET METJAHIC  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100